

Functoriality of quantization: an operator-algebraic approach

Klaas Landsman

Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics
Radboud University Nijmegen
Faculty of Science
landsman@math.ru.nl

Quantization of singular spaces, Aarhus, 16-12-2010

Literature

- V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations, *Invent. Math.* 67, 515-538 (1982)
- P. Baum, A. Connes, and N. Higson, Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group C^* -algebras, *Contemp. Math.* 167, 240-291 (1994)
- Y. Tian and W. Zhang, An analytic proof of the geometric quantization conjecture of Guillemin-Sternberg, *Invent. Math.* 132, 229-259 (1998)
- N.P. Landsman, Quantized reduction as a tensor product (Quantization of Singular Symplectic Quotients, Oberwolfach 1999), arXiv:math-ph/0008004
- N.P. Landsman, Functorial quantization and the Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture (Twenty Years of Białowieża, 2005), arXiv:math-ph/030705
- P. Hochs and N.P. Landsman, The Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture for noncompact groups and spaces, *J. of K-Theory* 1, 473-533 (2008), arXiv:math-ph/0512022
- P. Hochs, Quantisation commutes with reduction for cocompact Hamiltonian group actions, PhD Thesis, Radboud University Nijmegen (2008)
- V. Mathai and W. Zhang, with an appendix by U. Bunke, Geometric quantization for proper actions, *Adv. Math.* 225, 1224-1247 (2010), arXiv:0806.3138

Paths that cross

1. Symplectic geometry and geometric quantization:

Guillemin–Sternberg (-Dirac) conjecture ‘ $[Q, R] = 0$ ’

‘Geometric quantization commutes with symplectic reduction’

Reformulation in terms of equivariant index theory (Bott)

Defined and proved for **compact** groups and manifolds

(Noncompact examples: Gotay, Vergne, Paradan, Hochs, . . .)

2. Operator algebras and equivariant K-theory:

Baum–Connes conjecture $\mu_r : K_\bullet^G(\underline{EG}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_\bullet(C_r^*(G))$

Interesting for **noncompact** groups G (and **proper** actions)

3. Functoriality of quantization

Can symplectic data be ‘neatly’ mapped into operator data?

Are geometric and deformation quantization perhaps related?

The Janus faces of quantization

1. Heisenberg (1925): **classical observables** \rightsquigarrow **matrices**
2. Schrödinger (1926): **classical states** \rightsquigarrow **wave functions**
3. von Neumann (1932): unification through Hilbert space
matrices \rightarrow **operators**, **wave functions** \rightarrow **vectors**
1. Classical observables form **Poisson algebra**
Quantum observables form **C*-algebra** \Rightarrow **first face:**
'Deformation' quantization: Poisson algebra \rightsquigarrow **C*-algebra**
2. Classical states form **Symplectic manifold** $(M, \omega) \Rightarrow$ **2nd face:**
Geometric quantization: symplectic manifold \rightsquigarrow **Hilbert space**
 - Classically: state space (M, ω) determines observables $C^\infty(M)$
 - Quantumly: C*-algebra A determines state space $S(A)$ (or $P(A)$)
 - But this doesn't help (very much) for quantization!

Key examples of quantization

1. ‘Strict’ deformation quantization (Rieffel)

Lie group G , Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , Poisson mfd \mathfrak{g}^* : for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$\hat{X} \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ defined by $\hat{X}(\theta) = \theta(X)$, $\{\hat{X}, \hat{Y}\} = \widehat{[X, Y]}$

Quantization of **Poisson algebra** $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is **C*-algebra** $C^*(G)$

2. Traditional geometric quantization (Kostant, Souriau)

compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) such that $[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$

\Rightarrow \mathbb{C} -line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ plus connection ∇^L with $F(\nabla^L) = 2\pi i\omega$

\Rightarrow almost complex structure J s.t. $g(\xi, \eta) = \omega(\xi, J\eta)$ is metric

\Rightarrow **Hilbert space** $Q(M, \omega, J) = \{s \in \Gamma(L) \mid \nabla_{J\xi - i\xi}^L s = 0, \xi \in \mathbf{X}(M)\}$

3. ‘Postmodern’ geometric quantization

$Q_B(M, \omega, J) := \pi_*([L]) = \text{index}(\not{D}^L) \equiv \dim(\ker(\not{D}_+^L)) - \dim(\ker(\not{D}_-^L))$

\not{D}^L is Spin^c Dirac operator on M defined by J coupled to L

“A definition of quantization that is apparently due to Bott”

Guillemin-Sternberg-Bott conjecture

Quantization after reduction w.r.t. $G \curvearrowright M$ ($G \& M$ compact!):

1. Symplectic reduction: $(M//G, \omega_G)$
2. Geometric quantization à la Bott: $Q_B(M//G, \omega_G) = \text{index}(\not{D}^{L//G})$

Reduction after quantization ($G \& M$ compact!):

1. Equivariant geometric quantization à la Bott:

$$Q_B(M, \omega) = \text{index}_G(\not{D}^L) = [\ker(\not{D}_+^L)] - [\ker(\not{D}_-^L)] \in R(G)$$

2. Quantum reduction: $R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $[V] - [W] \mapsto \dim(V^G) - \dim(W^G)$

So $Q_B(M, \omega)^G = \dim((\ker(\not{D}_+^L))^G) - \dim((\ker(\not{D}_-^L))^G)$

$$\Rightarrow [Q, R] = 0 \text{ reads: } \boxed{\dim((\ker(\not{D}_+^L))^G) - \dim((\ker(\not{D}_-^L))^G) = \text{index}(\not{D}^{L//G})}$$

- Proved by many people in mid 1990s (Meinrenken, ...)

! G and M noncompact: $\dim(\ker(\not{D}_\pm^L)) = \infty$, $\dim((\ker(\not{D}_\pm^L))^G) = 0$, in L^2

Noncompact groups and manifolds

For **noncompact** G and M need substantial reformulation of G-S-B conjecture, under assumptions: $G \curvearrowright M$ **proper** and M/G **compact**

Compact \rightsquigarrow **noncompact dictionary**

- **Representation ring** $R(G)$ \rightsquigarrow **K-theory group** $K_0(C^*(G))$
- **{Operator data** $(H, \not{D}, U(G))$ \rightsquigarrow **K-homology group** $K_0^G(M)$
- **Equivariant index** \rightsquigarrow **assembly map** $\mu_M^G : K_0^G(M) \rightarrow K_0(C^*(G))$
- **Quantum reduction** $R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow$ **map** $K_0(C^*(G)) \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^G} K_0(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$
(induced by map $C^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ determined by trivial rep of G)

\Rightarrow Generalized G-S-B conjecture: $\left(\mu_M^G \left(\left[\not{D}^L \right] \right) \right)^G = \text{index} \left(\not{D}^{L//G} \right)$

Proved by Hochs–Landsman (2008) if G contains cocompact discrete normal subgroup, general proof by Mathai–Zhang (2010) through reduction to proof of compact case by Tian–Zhang (1998)

Baum–Connes–Higson assembly map

- **K -homology** of M : abelian group $K_0(M) = \{[H, F, \pi]_{\sim h}\}$, where:
 1. $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$ is separable \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert space
 2. $F \in B(H)$ **odd** operator, $F_{\pm} : H_{\pm} \rightarrow H_{\mp}$
 3. $\pi : C_0(M) \rightarrow B(H)$ is **even** representation, $\pi_{\pm}(f) : H_{\pm} \rightarrow H_{\pm}$
 4. $[\pi(f), F] \in K(H)$, $f \in C_0(M)$, i.e. F ‘almost’ intertwines π_{\pm}
 5. $\pi(f)(F^2 - 1) \in K(H)$, $f \in C_0(M)$, i.e. F_{\pm} ‘locally’ Fredholm
- **Equivariant K -homology** $K_0^G(M)$: add proper G -action on M , $C_0(M)$ -covariant rep $U(G)$ on H , commuting with F mod $K(H)$
- **K -theory** of \hat{G} : $K_0(C^*(G)) = \{[E_1] - [E_2]\}$, E_i f.g.p. $C^*(G)$ -modules

Description of (unreduced) assembly map $\mu_M^G : K_0^G(M) \rightarrow K_0(C^*(G))$:

1. Unitary G -rep U on H turns H into (Hilbert) $C^*(G)$ -module:
for G unimodular, right $C^*(G)$ -action is $\pi(f) = \int_G dg f(g^{-1})U(g)$
2. $K_0^G(M) \ni [H, F, \pi, U(G)]_{\sim h} \mapsto [\ker(F'_+)] - [\ker(F'_-)] \in K_0(C^*(G))$

Proof of $\left(\mu_M^G\left(\left[\not{D}^L\right]\right)\right)^G = \text{index}\left(\not{D}^{L//G}\right)$

- (M, ω) symplectic G -manifold \Rightarrow almost complex structure J
- Spinor bundle $\mathcal{S}_J \rightarrow M$ for G -inv. Spin^c -structure defined by J
- $E = \mathcal{S}_J \otimes L$ with $L \rightarrow M$ prequantization line bundle w.r.t. ω
- $\not{D}^L : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is G -inv. Spin^c Dirac operator coupled to L

1. $G \curvearrowright M$ cocompact $\Rightarrow \exists$ compact $Y \subset M$ such that $G \cdot Y = M$

2. Pick $c \in C_c^\infty(M)$ s.t. $Y \subset \text{supp}(c)$, $\int_G dg c(g^{-1}x)^2 = 1 \ \forall x \in M$

3. $L_c^2(E)^G = c \cdot L_{\text{loc}}^2(E)^G \subset L^2(E)$, $H_c^1(E)^G = c \cdot H_{\text{loc}}^1(E)^G \subset H^1(E)$

4. $\not{D}_c^L \equiv [L_c^2(E)^G] \circ \not{D}^L \circ [H_c^1(E)^G] : H_c^1(E)^G \rightarrow L_c^2(E)^G$ is Fredholm

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mu_M^G\left(\left[\not{D}^L\right]\right)\right)^G &\stackrel{\text{Bunke}}{=} \text{index}(\not{D}_c^L) \stackrel{\text{MZ}}{=} \dim(\ker_{\Gamma(E)}(\not{D}_+^L)^G) - \dim(\ker_{\Gamma(E)}(\not{D}_-^L)^G) \\ &\stackrel{\text{MZ}}{=} \text{index}(\not{D}^{L/G}) \stackrel{\text{TZ}}{=} \text{index}(\not{D}^{L//G}) \text{ by localization to } \Phi^{-1}(0) \end{aligned}$$

Functorial quantization

- ‘Explains’ generalized Guillemin-Sternberg-Bott conjecture as a special instance of functoriality of quantization
 - Unifies the Janus faces of quantization into a functor Q
1. Domain of Q : category of (quantizable) ‘regular dual pairs’
 - (a) (integrable) **Poisson manifolds** as objects
 - (b) (regular) **Weinstein dual pairs** $[P_1 \leftarrow M \rightarrow P_2]_{\cong}$ as arrows
 2. Codomain of Q : Kasparov’s category KK
 - (a) (separable) **C*-algebras** as objects
 - (b) **[graded Hilbert bimodules ‘with operator’]** \sim_h as arrows
 3. Hypothetical quantization functor (based on examples only)
 - (a) **Deformation quantization**: $P_i \rightsquigarrow$ C*-algebra A_i
 - (b) **Geometric quantization**: $M \rightsquigarrow$ ‘[Spin^c Dirac operator \not{D}^L]?’
 - (c) **Functorial quantization**: $[P_1 \leftarrow M \rightarrow P_2] \rightsquigarrow [\not{D}^L] \in KK(A_1, A_2)$

Category of Weinstein dual pairs

- $P_1^- \xleftarrow{\phi_1} M \xrightarrow{\phi_2} P_2$ (M symplectic, P_i Poisson mfd, ϕ_i complete Poisson maps) forms **dual pair** if $\{\phi_1^* f_1, \phi_2^* f_2\} = 0$, $f_i \in C^\infty(P_i)$
 - Poisson mfd P is **integrable** if P is base mfd of some symplectic groupoid $\Gamma(P)$ (unique/ \cong if s -connected & s -simply connected)
 - Poisson map $M \xrightarrow{\phi} P$ is **integrable** if ϕ is base map of some symplectic groupoid action on M ($\Rightarrow P$ integrable, ϕ complete)
 - Dual pair $P_1^- \xleftarrow{\phi_1} M \xrightarrow{\phi_2} P_2$ is **regular** if both ϕ_i are integrable and $P_1^- \xleftarrow{\phi_1} M$ is principal $\Gamma(P_2)$ -bundle (cf. **Moerdijk**)
 - Iso classes of regular dual pairs form arrows of category:
Product of $P_1^- \leftarrow M \rightarrow P$ and $P \leftarrow N \rightarrow P_2$ is symplectic reduction of coisotropic constraint $C = M \times_P N \subset M \times N$ (**Xu**)
- \Rightarrow Category of regular dual pairs \simeq category of s -simply connected symplectic groupoids with right principal symplectic bibundles

Kasparov's category KK

- Category KK has C^* -algebras as objects and homotopy classes of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hilbert bimodules 'with operator' as arrows:
 1. A - B Hilbert bimodule $E = E_- \oplus E_+$ has B -valued inner product such that $\langle a^*\psi, \phi \rangle = \langle \psi, a\phi \rangle$, $a \in A$, and $\langle \psi, \phi b \rangle = \langle \psi, \phi \rangle b$, $b \in B$
 2. **Odd** operator $F : E \rightarrow E$ almost intertwines **even** A -action
 3. F is locally Fredholm w.r.t. A -action on E (relative to $K(E)$)
 4. A - $C([0, 1], B)$ Hilbert bimodules give notion of homotopy h
 5. Abelian group $KK(A, B) = \{[A, B, E, F]_{\sim_h}\}$ (E countably gen./ B)
 6. Kasparov product $KK(A, B) \times KK(B, C) \rightarrow KK(A, C)$ gives arrow composition in KK (functorial in every way)
 7. $KK(A, \mathbb{C}) =: K^0(A)$ defines K -homology, $K_0(M) \equiv K^0(C_0(M))$
 8. $KK(\mathbb{C}, B) \cong K_0(B)$ through $[\mathbb{C}, B, E, F]_{\sim_h} \mapsto [\ker(F'_+)] - [\ker(F'_-)]$

Examples of functorial quantization

1. Symplectic manifold M yields dual pair $pt \leftarrow M \rightarrow pt$

(a) **Deformation quantization:** $pt \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{C}$

(b) **Geometric quantization:** $(M, \omega) \rightsquigarrow [\mathcal{D}^L]?$

(c) **Functorial quantization:** $(pt \leftarrow M \rightarrow pt) \rightsquigarrow [\mathcal{D}^L] \in KK(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$

Identification $KK(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ identifies $[\mathcal{D}^L] \cong \text{index}(\mathcal{D}^L)$

2. Hamiltonian group action $G \curvearrowright M$ generated by momentum map $\Phi : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ yields dual pair $pt \leftarrow M \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathfrak{g}^*$ (assume G connected)

(a) **Deformation quantization:** $pt \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightsquigarrow C^*(G)$

(b) **Geometric quantization:** $(M, \omega) \rightsquigarrow [\mathcal{D}^L]?$

(c) **Functorial quantization:** $(pt \leftarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*) \rightsquigarrow [\mathcal{D}^L] \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G))$

$KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G)) \cong K_0(C^*(G))$ identifies $[\mathcal{D}^L] \cong \mu_M^G([\mathcal{D}^L]_{K_0^G(M)})$

3. $(\mathfrak{g}^* \leftarrow 0 \rightarrow pt) \rightsquigarrow [\mathcal{D} = 0] \in KK(C^*(G), \mathbb{C})$, trivial action $C^*(G) \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}$

Guillemin-Sternberg-Bott revisited

1. Composition \circ of dual pairs reproduces symplectic reduction:

$$(pt \leftarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*) \circ (\mathfrak{g}^* \leftarrow 0 \rightarrow pt) \cong pt \leftarrow M//G \rightarrow pt$$

2. Kasparov product reproduces quantum reduction:

$$x_{KK(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G))} \times_{KK} [\not{D} = 0]_{KK(C^*(G), \mathbb{C})} = x^G \in KK(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$$

i.e. map $K_0(C^*(G)) \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^G} \mathbb{Z}$ given as product in category KK

3. Recall:

$$\mathbf{Q}(pt \leftarrow M//G \rightarrow pt) = \text{index}(\not{D}^{L//G})$$

$$\mathbf{Q}(pt \leftarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*) = \mu_M^G([\not{D}^L]_{K_0^G(M)})$$

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathfrak{g}^* \leftarrow 0 \rightarrow pt) = [\not{D} = 0]_{KK(C^*(G), \mathbb{C})}$$

\Rightarrow **Functoriality of quantization map \mathbf{Q} gives G-S-B conjecture:**

$$\mathbf{Q}(pt \leftarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*) \circ \mathbf{Q}(\mathfrak{g}^* \leftarrow 0 \rightarrow pt) = \mathbf{Q}(pt \leftarrow M//G \rightarrow pt)$$

is the same as
$$\mu_M^G \left(\left[[\not{D}^L] \right]^G \right) = \text{index} \left(\not{D}^{L//G} \right)$$